

# Day 0.3

Three months after starting Hedegreen Research, the question is no longer only output. It is the local infrastructure and practical form the work now needs to keep becoming real.

2026.06.20 11:21 DENNIS HEDEGREEN JOURNAL V1.0 [HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/DAY-0-3/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/day-0-3/)

---

Tomorrow it will be three months since I sat one evening and started Hedegreen Research. At the time I did not know what it would become. I only knew that I had to stop keeping the work inside my own head and my own chat windows. Day 0 was about that first movement. It was about beginning before I felt ready, and about letting something become public before it had become polished.

What I did not understand that evening was how quickly beginning would turn into direction. Three months later I am going to Brussels. That is still strange to write. Not because Hedegreen Research is finished. It is not. Not because it has become an institution. It has not. But because something I started alone one evening is now solid enough to leave the room for a moment and meet other people, other systems, and other limits.

That is probably why the next question has changed. Day 0 was about beginning. Day 0.1 was about proving that the ideas had been there. Day 0.2 was about learning that too many open doors can become noise. Day 0.3 feels like it is about form, but not in the inflated sense of branding, strategy decks, or pretending to know the final shape. I mean form in the ordinary sense: what kind of setup this work now needs if it is going to keep growing without depending too much on one person, one phone, and one unstable arrangement.

One detail says most of it. Much of this still runs on a mobile hotspot. That is funny enough that I can laugh at it, but it is also serious. It means the work is becoming more real than the infrastructure around it. The next bottleneck is not ideas. It is router, internet, server space, local capacity, and a home setup that can carry more than improvisation. It is probably also a better chair. None of that sounds grand. That is exactly why it matters. The next step is not to invent a larger identity for Hedegreen Research. It is to build conditions stable enough for the work already happening.

There is another plain fact that belongs in the same picture: I still have not earned a single krone from it. I still make money selling the street paper Hus Forbi. That matters because it keeps the article honest. The work is real. The direction is real. The Brussels trip is real. The need for better infrastructure is real. But none of that means the economic side has solved itself. Hedegreen Research is not yet carrying me financially. It is still something I am building before the structure around it has caught up.

I am not writing this as a crisis note. I am not burned out by the work. I still enjoy it. That may be why the question arrives clearly now instead of too late. The project is not collapsing. It is starting to reveal what it needs. That feels like a better problem than the older one. Three months ago the problem was how to begin at all. Now the problem is how to keep the thing honest and alive as it asks for more reality around itself.

That also changes how I think about the goal. Three months ago the goal was simply to begin. That was enough then. Now it is no longer enough to say that Hedegreen Research exists. The harder question is what kind of thing it is trying to become before it grows in the wrong shape. I can already see directions. A server. Local models for my own data. More routine. More stable infrastructure at home. Later, maybe something more physical than a computer and a desk. A small Hedegreen Research place. Experiments. Repairs. A room where people can come by. But those are directions, not achievements, and not yet the next honest public claim.

The next honest claim is smaller. Hedegreen Research needs enough local infrastructure to keep becoming real without pretending that it is already secure. It needs enough capacity around the work that the work does not remain stuck forever between seriousness and improvisation. That may be what the third month is actually for. Not only more output. Not only more clarity. Figuring out the next form before the setup becomes the limit.

---

RELATION MEMORY

RETURNS TO [Day 0 – Starting Without a Filter](#) · The original permission-to-begin note.

MATURES FROM [Day 0.2](#) · The second-month closure problem becomes a third-month infrastructure and form problem.

NEARBY [Hedegreen Research Is Going to Brussels](#) · The outward field note becomes an inward Day 0 checkpoint.