

The Fairy Tale Failed Its Own Test

Why the first drafts of *The Machine Makers* and *the Kingdom of Coins* are part of the record.

DENNIS HEDEGREEN BUILD V1.0

[HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/THE-FAIRY-TALE-FAILED-ITS-OWN-TEST/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/the-fairy-tale-failed-its-own-test/)

Why the first drafts of *The Machine Makers* and *the Kingdom of Coins* are part of the record

The first version of *The Machine Makers and the Kingdom of Coins* began like this:

“Once there was a kingdom where every child learned two things before learning to read.”

It was neat.

Too neat.

Work earned coins. Coins became rest. The House of Tomorrow invested the coins. Three Machine Makers arrived. The investment boom followed. The political problem appeared.

Almost everything was in the right place.

That was the problem.

The first drafts understood the argument too well.

They explained pension exposure, investment races, labour displacement, political bargaining and distributed responsibility with the efficiency of a research note wearing a fairy-tale costume.

The story had characters.

But the mechanisms were doing most of the talking.

The first failure

An external read found the central weakness: the idea was stronger than the literature.

People lost jobs, pensions came under pressure and institutions changed, but much of it happened in lists, explanations and clever dialogue.

The reader could understand the system.

The reader did not have to live inside it.

So the manuscript went through a gate called **Literary Necessity**.

It failed.

The rule that came out of that failure was simple:

Do not explain a mechanism if one human consequence can make the reader discover it.

That changed the book.

A long explanation of labour displacement became Mara coming home early on Friday because the Machine had finished the accounts — and then receiving a smaller payslip.

A discussion of employment substitution became an empty chair.

Investment scale became:

“Now the box has a skyline.”

Pension transmission became three envelopes on a kitchen table.

Allocation became the last piece of cake.

The quarry

The earlier manuscript was not deleted.

It became a quarry.

The useful mechanisms stayed.

Much of the explanation did not.

The story was rebuilt around Elin, her mother Mara and her grandfather Tomas.

That also created a second problem.

Elin wanted to find the person who had caused everything.

And the evidence began fitting together beautifully.

Warnings existed.

Risks had been discussed.

People had continued anyway.

A crisis had opened political possibilities.

It would have been very easy to turn those pieces into a cleaner story:

They knew.

They continued.

Therefore they wanted the outcome.

The research record would not allow it.

The manuscript eventually gave Elin the same problem the research process had:

The story was becoming beautiful. That was when she began to distrust it.

She had sorted the evidence into three neat piles.

Then she realised that she had made the piles.

Two finished stories

Something else happened during the process.

The Danish edition stopped being a translation exercise.

It became the first locked literary edition:

Maskinmagerne og Mønternes Rige — V5.14

The later English edition was therefore not made by returning to the old English manuscript.

It was rebuilt from the Danish literary version while the earlier English manuscript remained beside it as a mechanism check.

That produced:

The Machine Makers and the Kingdom of Coins — EN v1.0

They are sibling editions.

Same story.

Same causal limits.

Same uncertainty.

Different sentences.

Why keep the failed drafts?

Because a constructed story should not become credible merely because the final version reads smoothly.

The smoothness is part of the danger.

The earlier drafts show where the story once explained too much, where characters carried arguments instead of lives, and where a compelling causal pattern could have hardened into a claim the evidence could not support.

Why Composite Stories? states the general rule: the evidence may be assembled into a story, but the story cannot be allowed to manufacture the evidence.

This project adds a practical version:

The better the story becomes, the harder the workpack must try to kill it.

This one survived.

Not unchanged.

Because it didn't.

RELATION MEMORY

COMPANION [Maskinmagerne og Mønternes Rige](#) · The locked Danish sibling literary edition.

COMPANION [The Machine Makers and the Kingdom of Coins](#) · The locked English sibling literary edition.

METHOD [Why Composite Stories?](#) · The public method statement that keeps constructed narrative from manufacturing evidence.

CANONICAL URL: [HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/THE-FAIRY-TALE-FAILED-ITS-OWN-TEST/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/the-fairy-tale-failed-its-own-test/)
PDF VIEW GENERATED: 2026-08-25T23:31:32.738Z