

What Is the System Getting Better At?

A short Hedegreen Research note asking why output is not the same as progress, and why a society should ask what its energy, knowledge and coordination actually become.

2026.05.25 21:05 DENNIS HEDEGREEN OPEN V1.0

[HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/WHAT-IS-THE-SYSTEM-GETTING-BETTER-AT/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/what-is-the-system-getting-better-at/)

A civilization is not advanced because it burns more.

That sentence is not an argument against energy, industry, science, markets, computation, infrastructure or growth.

It is a narrower test.

More output is not automatically progress.

A system can produce more food and still leave people hungry. It can produce more housing and still make shelter feel impossible. It can generate more information and still make the world less readable. It can build more tools and still make ordinary life more dependent, brittle and captured.

So the useful question is not only:

How much can the system produce?

The better question is:

What is the system getting better at?

If energy, knowledge and coordination become food, care, shelter, learning, repair, beauty, freedom, ecological maintenance and human-scale resilience, then the output is doing civilizational work.

If they become waste, domination, artificial scarcity, broken trust, institutional exhaustion, ecological debt or private capture of public capacity, then the system may be producing more while becoming less viable.

That difference matters because societies can confuse speed with direction.

A machine can become faster at doing the wrong thing. A market can become more efficient at hiding damage. A bureaucracy can become better at counting only the part it already understands. A platform can become excellent at extracting attention while making the public world harder to share.

None of that becomes neutral because it produces numbers.

The lens is simple:

Generative Capacity

× Social Integrity

× Resilience

- Self-Inflicted Drag
- Future Damage

This is not a calculator.

It is a way of refusing fake progress when high output hides the cost of producing it.

Generative capacity is the ability to make useful things happen. Energy matters. Knowledge matters.

Coordination matters. A society that cannot move resources, remember what it knows, repair what breaks or organize work at the right scale will struggle no matter how good its slogans are.

But capacity alone is not enough.

Social integrity asks whether the output can be trusted as public life, not only as private accumulation. Are the benefits distributed in a way people can recognize as legitimate? Can people understand the rules? Can they refuse, repair, challenge or leave the system? Does coordination still involve consent, or has it become control?

Resilience asks what happens when the plan fails.

A brittle system can look efficient because it has removed the spare parts, local knowledge, slack time, redundant routes and repair capacity that made survival possible. Some redundancy is not waste. Some redundancy is memory. Some redundancy is dignity. Some redundancy is how a society absorbs shock without turning every accident into a crisis.

Then come the subtractions.

Self-inflicted drag is the cost a society creates for itself: waste, capture, harm, broken trust, poisoned bodies, exhausted workers, hidden damage, privatized gains, socialized losses and systems that force people to spend their lives navigating machinery that should have served them.

Future damage is the bill that has not arrived yet.

Damage to climate. Damage to soil. Damage to institutions. Damage to bodies. Damage to shared knowledge. Damage to the trust that lets strangers cooperate. Damage to the human capacity to pay attention to the real world.

If a society increases present output by eating those conditions, the output is not free. It is borrowed from the future and mislabeled as success.

That is why raw output is too weak as a civilizational measure.

The issue is not whether production matters. It does.

The issue is whether production is being read honestly.

An advanced society should not be the one that burns the most, extracts the most, counts the most or automates the most. It should be the one where less burning can produce more life, where more knowledge produces more repair, where coordination increases freedom instead of replacing it, and where public capacity does not vanish into private capture.

That gives a few plain questions:

When someone says a system is growing, what is growing?

When someone says it is efficient, what did it remove?

When someone says it is advanced, who can live inside it?

When someone says the future is being built, what had to be damaged to make it look cheap?

This note is not a ranking system.

It is a diagnostic lens.

It keeps asking the question output alone cannot answer:

What does all this capacity become over time?

If the answer is more life, more repair, more room to think, more shared capacity, more human-scale resilience and less damage passed forward, then the system may be getting better.

If the answer is more extraction, more enclosure, more dependence, more exhaustion and more future debt, then the system may only be getting louder.

That is not the same thing.

Source Boundary

This piece is a public-note translation of an internal diagnostic lens from A Notebook for Society. It is not a measured index, development ranking or empirical proof.

RELATION MEMORY

NEARBY [Where Did the Saved Hours Go?](#) · Both pieces ask what measured capacity actually becomes after a system improves.

NEARBY [Scale Raises Confidence, Not Capacity](#) · Both separate more output from better capacity.

CANONICAL URL: [HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/WHAT-IS-THE-SYSTEM-GETTING-BETTER-AT/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/what-is-the-system-getting-better-at/)

PDF VIEW GENERATED: 2026-07-11T15:35:46.123Z