

Why I Still Don't Know What Hedegreen Research Is

A first-person structural note on Hedegreen Research as a real but still-forming public system.

2026.06.21 13:52 DENNIS HEDEGREEN OPEN V1.0

[HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/WHY-I-STILL-DONT-KNOW-WHAT-HEDEGREEN-RESEARCH-IS/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/why-i-still-dont-know-what-hedegreen-research-is/)

Hedegreen Research now has enough public structure around it that it can easily be mistaken for a finished thing.

The archive is real.

The rooms are real.

The tools are real.

The writing is real.

That does not mean the thing itself is fully decided.

If anything, the opposite is becoming clearer.

Hedegreen Research is already real enough to create maintenance problems, infrastructure needs, interface decisions, and questions about form. That is different from saying I already know exactly what Hedegreen Research is. I do not. This is still before day 1.0.

That is not false modesty. Too much already exists for that. One false version of this article would pretend that nothing has happened yet, as if the whole project were still only a private sketch. Another would speak as if the institution had already arrived and all that remained was scaling it. Both would be dishonest. The more accurate version sits between those mistakes. The system exists in an unfinished operational form. It is public enough to require decisions, but not settled enough to let me pretend the final shape is known.

Part of the confusion is that the website is ahead of the surrounding conditions. The public shell is already carrying archive logic, rooms, tool surfaces, and a growing body of linked work. The internal roadmap for the site is also real enough to read clearly now: more honest shell behavior, cleaner room structure, persistent audio, dry status layers, and a site that behaves less like a pile of separate pages and more like a coherent public system. But a roadmap is not the same thing as a settled identity. It only proves that the questions are now concrete.

The practical side is plainer than the public surface. Too much still depends on improvised local infrastructure. Internet still matters as a bottleneck. Router, local server capacity, local models, backups, and a better home setup matter. So does a more physical archive instinct than the website alone can hold. If I keep

printing things, then eventually I need the boring real-world answer: an actual archive cabinet with drawers, a place for paper, and a working physical order around the digital one. That sounds smaller than a website roadmap. In practice it may matter more.

That is part of why the next month is likely to look less like expansion and more like classification and maintenance. Not every tool should automatically become a phone tool. Some should probably stay desktop-first. Some may be field tools. Some may be archive tools. Some may be usable on mobile without being designed around mobile. The important thing is not universal compatibility as a slogan. The important thing is device honesty.

The same is true for modes. WHITE mode is funny enough as a phrase, but the reason it keeps sounding right is that the site is starting to ask for operational modes rather than only pages. Reading mode. Print mode. Daylight mode. Archive mode. Signal already pushed part of that logic through sound. Some tools may eventually need sound too, not because everything should chirp, but because some public actions might be better marked as events instead of silent form submission. A tool does not stop being serious because it makes a sound.

None of that means the identity is solved. In some ways it means the opposite. The more rooms, modes, tools, and infrastructure questions appear, the harder it becomes to act as if Hedegreen Research was already a known institutional type. It is not a normal magazine. It is not a normal product. It is not only a research notebook. It is not only a tool lab. It is not only a personal site. That is why I still do not fully know what Hedegreen Research is. I am still finding out by building the conditions it seems to require.

That is why the next phase should not be misread as a slowdown if it becomes more practical. Maintenance is not failure. Classification is not hesitation. Bug fixing is not loss of direction. Getting the code into better shape, getting the site cleaner, deciding which tools belong on which devices, and tightening local infrastructure may be the first honest preparation for a later version of the thing.

If day 0 was permission to begin, this feels like permission to say something equally simple from the other side: beginning does not automatically tell you what the thing is. Sometimes the public system has to run for a while before its real requirements become visible. Sometimes the only way to learn what the object is is to keep building around its pressure points until the form starts to show itself.

That is where Hedegreen Research feels like it is now.

Already real.

Not fully decided.

Still before day 1.0.

— Dennis Hedegreen

RELATION MEMORY

MATURES FROM [Why Hedegreen Research Might Need to Become a Forening](#) · The shell question widens into a broader note on unfinished form, infrastructure, modes, and device honesty.

RETURNS TO [Day 0.3](#) · The third-month infrastructure note becomes a larger statement about what phase the whole project is still in.

NEARBY [This Stopped Being Just One Website](#) · The room-family shell logic becomes part of the unfinished-form problem.

NEARBY [How to Read Hedegreen Research](#) · The method note explains how to read the project; this note explains why the project still cannot be treated as finished.

CANONICAL URL: [HTTPS://HEDEGREENRESEARCH.COM/ARTICLES/WHY-I-STILL-DONT-KNOW-WHAT-HEDEGREEN-RESEARCH-IS/](https://hedegreenresearch.com/articles/why-i-still-dont-know-what-hedegreen-research-is/)
PDF VIEW GENERATED: 2026-07-11T15:35:46.155Z